

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION FOR LETTERS PATENT

**Computer-Aided Reading System and Method with  
Cross-Language Reading Wizard**

Inventor(s):

En-Dong Xun

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO. ms1-553us

1      **RELATED APPLICATION**

2      This application stems from and claims priority to U.S. Provisional  
3      Application Serial No. 60/199,288, filed on April 24, 2000, the disclosure of  
4      which is expressly incorporated herein by reference. This application is also  
5      related to U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/556,229, filed on April 24, 2000,  
6      the disclosure of which is incorporated by reference herein.

7      **TECHNICAL FIELD**

8      The present invention relates to a machine-aided reading systems and  
9      methods. More particularly, the present invention relates to a user interface and  
10     underlying architecture that assists users with reading non-native languages.

11     **BACKGROUND**

12     With the rapid development of the Internet, computer users all over the  
13     world are becoming increasingly more exposed to writings that are penned in non-  
14     native languages. Many users are entirely unfamiliar with non-native languages.  
15     Even for a user who has some training in a non-native language, it is often difficult  
16     for that user to read and comprehend the non-native language.

17     Consider the plight of a Chinese user who accesses web pages or other  
18     electronic documents written in English. The Chinese user may have had some  
19     formal training in English during school, but such training is often insufficient to  
20     enable them to fully read and comprehend certain words, phrases, or sentences  
21     written in English. The Chinese-English situation is used as but one example to  
22     illustrate the point. This problem persists across other language boundaries.

1       Accordingly, this invention arose out of concerns associated with providing  
2 machine-aided reading systems and methods that help computer users read and  
3 comprehend electronic writings that are presented in non-native languages.

4

5 **SUMMARY**

6       A computer-aided reading system offers assistance to a user who is reading  
7 in a non-native language, as the user needs help, without requiring the user to  
8 divert attention away from the text.

9       In one implementation, the reading system is implemented as a reading  
10 wizard for a browser program. The reading wizard is exposed via a graphical user  
11 interface (UI) that allows the user to select a word, phrase, sentence, or other  
12 grouping of words in the non-native text, and view a translation of the selected  
13 text in the user's own native language. The translation is presented in a window or  
14 pop-up box located near the selected text to minimize distraction.

15       In one aspect, a core of the reading wizard includes a shallow parser, a  
16 statistical word translation selector, and a translation generator. The shallow parser  
17 parses phrases or sentences of the user-selected non-native text into individual  
18 translation units (e.g., phrases, words). In one implementation, the shallow parser  
19 segments the words in the selected text and morphologically processes them to  
20 obtain the morphological root of each word. The shallow parser employs part-of-  
21 speech (POS) tagging and base noun phrase (baseNP) identification to characterize  
22 the words and phrases for further translation selection. The POS tagging and  
23 baseNP identification may be performed, for example, by a statistical model. The  
24 shallow parser applies rules-based phrase extension and pattern matching to the  
25 words to generate tree lists.

1        The statistical word translation selector chooses top candidate word  
2        translations for the translation units parsed from the non-native text. The word  
3        translation selector generates all possible translation patterns and translates the  
4        translation units using a statistical translation and language models. The top  
5        candidate translations are output.

6        The translation generator translates the candidate word translations to  
7        corresponding phrases in the native language. The translation generator uses, in  
8        part, a native language model to help determine proper translations. The native  
9        words and phrases are then presented via the UI in proximity to the selected text.

10

11 **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

12        Fig. 1 is a block diagram of a computer system that implements a reading  
13        system with a cross-language reading wizard.

14        Fig. 2 is a block diagram of an exemplary shallow parser in accordance  
15        with one embodiment.

16        Fig. 3 is a diagram that is useful in understanding processing that takes  
17        place in accordance with one embodiment.

18        Fig. 4 is a diagram that is useful in understanding the Fig. 3 diagram.

19        Fig. 5 is a flow diagram that describes steps in a method in accordance with  
20        one embodiment.

21        Fig. 6 is a diagram that is useful in understanding processing that takes  
22        place in accordance with one embodiment.

23        Fig. 7 is a flow diagram that describes steps in a method in accordance with  
24        one embodiment.

1       Fig. 8 is a block diagram of an exemplary translation generator in  
2 accordance with one embodiment.

3       Figs. 9-13 show various exemplary user interfaces in accordance with one  
4 embodiment.

5

6 **DETAILED DESCRIPTION**

7       **Overview**

8       A computer-aided reading system helps a user read a non-native language.  
9       For discussion purposes, the computer-aided reading system is described in the  
10 general context of browser programs executed by a general-purpose computer.  
11       However, the computer-aided reading system may be implemented in many  
12 different environments other than browsing (e.g., email systems, word processing,  
13 etc.) and may be practiced on many diverse types of devices.

14       The embodiments described below can permit users who are more  
15 comfortable communicating in a native language, to extensively read non-native  
16 language electronic documents quickly, conveniently, and in a manner that  
17 promotes focus and rapid assimilation of the subject matter. User convenience can  
18 be enhanced by providing a user interface with a translation window closely  
19 adjacent the text being translated. The translation window contains a translation  
20 of the translated text. By positioning the translation window closely adjacent the  
21 translated text, the user's eyes are not required to move very far to ascertain the  
22 translated text. This, in turn, reduces user-perceptible distraction that might  
23 otherwise persist if, for example, the user were required to glance a distance away  
24 in order to view the translated text.

1 User interaction is further enhanced, in some embodiments, by virtue of a  
2 mouse point translation process. A user is able, by positioning a mouse to select a  
3 portion of text, to quickly make their selection, whereupon the system  
4 automatically performs a translation and presents translated text to the user.

5

6 **Exemplary System Architecture**

7 Fig. 1 shows an exemplary computer system 100 having a central  
8 processing unit (CPU) 102, a memory 104, and an input/output (I/O) interface  
9 106. The CPU 102 communicates with the memory 104 and I/O interface 106.  
10 The memory 104 is representative of both volatile memory (e.g., RAM) and non-  
11 volatile memory (e.g., ROM, hard disk, etc.). Programs, data, files, and may be  
12 stored in memory 104 and executed on the CPU 102.

13 The computer system 100 has one or more peripheral devices connected via  
14 the I/O interface 106. Exemplary peripheral devices include a mouse 110, a  
15 keyboard 112 (e.g., an alphanumeric QWERTY keyboard, a phonetic keyboard,  
16 etc.), a display monitor 114, a printer 116, a peripheral storage device 118, and a  
17 microphone 120. The computer system may be implemented, for example, as a  
18 general-purpose computer. Accordingly, the computer system 100 implements a  
19 computer operating system (not shown) that is stored in memory 104 and executed  
20 on the CPU 102. The operating system is preferably a multi-tasking operating  
21 system that supports a windowing environment. An example of a suitable  
22 operating system is a Windows brand operating system from Microsoft  
23 Corporation.

24 It is noted that other computer system configurations may be used, such as  
25 hand-held devices, multiprocessor systems, microprocessor-based or

1 programmable consumer electronics, network PCs, minicomputers, mainframe  
2 computers, and the like. In addition, although a standalone computer is illustrated  
3 in Fig. 1, the language input system may be practiced in distributed computing  
4 environments where tasks are performed by remote processing devices that are  
5 linked through a communications network (e.g., LAN, Internet, etc.). In a  
6 distributed computing environment, program modules may be located in both local  
7 and remote memory storage devices.

8

### 9 **Exemplary Reading System**

10 The computer system 100 implements a reading system 130 that assists  
11 users in reading non-native languages. The reading system can provide help at the  
12 word, phrase, or sentence level. The reading system is implemented in Fig. 1 as a  
13 browser program 132 stored in memory 104 and executed on CPU 102. It is to be  
14 appreciated and understood that the reading system described below can be  
15 implemented in contexts other than browser contexts.

16 The reading system 130 has a user interface 134 and a cross-language  
17 reading wizard 136. The UI 134 exposes the cross-language reading wizard 136.  
18 The browser program 132 may include other components in addition to the  
19 reading system, but such components are considered standard to browser programs  
20 and will not be shown or described in detail.

21 The reading wizard 136 includes a shallow parser 140, a statistical word  
22 translation selector 142, and a translation generator 144.

## Exemplary Shallow Parser

The shallow parser 140 parses phrases or sentences of the selected non-native text into individual translation units (e.g., phrases, words).

Fig. 2 shows shallow parser 140 in a little more detail in accordance with one embodiment. The shallow parser can be implemented in any suitable hardware, software, firmware or combination thereof. In the illustrated and described embodiment, the shallow parser is implemented in software.

As shown, shallow parser 140 comprises a word segment module 200, a morphological analyzer 202, a part-of-speech (POS) tagging/base noun phrase identification module 204, a phrase extension module 206, and a pattern or template matching module 208. Although these components are shown as individual components, it should be appreciated and understood that the components can be combined with one another or with other components.

In accordance with the described embodiment, shallow parser 140 segments words in text that has been selected by a user. It does this using word segment module 200. The shallow parser then uses morphological analyzer 202 to morphologically process the words to obtain the morphological root of each word. The morphological analyzer can apply various morphological rules to the words in order to find the morphological root of each word. The rules that morphological analyzer 202 uses can be developed by a person skilled in the particular language being analyzed. For example, one rule in English is that the morphological root of words that end in "ed" is formed by either removing the "d" or the "ed".

The shallow parser 140 employs part-of-speech (POS) tagging/base noun phrase (baseNP) identification module 204 to characterize the words and phrases for further translation selection. The POS tagging and baseNP identification can

1 be performed, for example, by a statistical model, an example of which is  
2 described below in a section entitled “POS tagging and baseNP Identification” just  
3 below. The shallow parser 140 uses phrase extension module 206 to apply rule-  
4 based phrase extension to the words characterized by POS tagging/base noun  
5 phrase identification module 204. One goal of the phrase extension module is to  
6 extend a base noun phrase to a more complex noun phrase. For example, “baseNP  
7 of baseNP” is the more complex noun phrase of the “baseNP” phrase. The  
8 shallow parser 140 also uses patterning or template matching module 208 to  
9 generate tree lists. The patterning or template matching module is used for  
10 translation and recognizes that some phrase translation is pattern dependent, and is  
11 not directly related to the words in the phrases. For example, the phrase “be  
12 interested in baseNP” contains a pattern (i.e. “baseNP”) that is used to form a  
13 more complex translation unit for translation. The words “be interested in” are not  
14 directly related to the pattern that is used to form the more complex translation  
15 unit.

16

### 17 **POS Tagging and BaseNP Identification**

18 The following discussion describes a statistical model for automatic  
19 identification of English baseNP (Noun Phrase) and constitutes but one way of  
20 processing selected text so that a tree list can be generated. The described  
21 approach uses two steps: the N-best Part-Of-Speech (POS) tagging and baseNP  
22 identification given the N-best POS-sequences. The described model also  
23 integrates lexical information. Finally, a Viterbi algorithm is applied to make a  
24 global search in the entire sentence which permits a linear complexity for the  
25 entire process to be obtained.

1 Finding simple and non-recursive base Noun Phrase (baseNP) is an  
2 important subtask for many natural language processing applications, such as  
3 partial parsing, information retrieval and machine translation. A baseNP is a  
4 simple noun phrase that does not contain other noun phrase recursively. For  
5 example, the elements within [...] in the following example are baseNPs, where  
6 NNS, IN VBG etc are part-of-speech (POS) tags. POS tags are known and are  
7 described in Marcus et al., *Building a Large Annotated Corpus of English: the*  
8 *Penn Treebank*, Computational Linguistics, 19(2): 313-330, 1993.

9  
10 [Measures/NNS] of/IN [manufacturing/VBG activity/NN] fell/VBD more/RBR than/IN  
11 [the/DT overall/JJ measures/NNS] ./.

12  
13 The Statistical Approach

14 In this section, the two-pass statistical model, parameters training and the  
15 Viterbi algorithm for the search of the best sequences of POS tagging and baseNP  
16 identification are described. Before describing the algorithm, some notations that  
17 are used throughout are introduced.

18 Let us express an input sentence  $E$  as a word sequence and a sequence of  
19 POS respectively as follows:

20 
$$E = w_1 \quad w_2 \quad \dots \quad w_{n-1} \quad w_n$$

21  
22 
$$T = t_1 \quad t_2 \quad \dots \quad t_{n-1} \quad t_n$$

23 where  $n$  is the number of words in the sentence,  $t_i$  is the POS tag of the  
24 word  $w_i$ .  
25

Given E, the result of the baseNP identification is assumed to be a sequence, in which some words are grouped into baseNP as follows

$\dots w_{i-1} [w_i \ w_{i+1} \ \dots w_j] \ w_{j+1} \dots$

The corresponding tag sequence is as follows:

(a)  $B = \dots t_{i-1} [t_i \ t_{i+1} \ \dots t_j] \ t_{j+1} \dots = \dots t_{i-1} b_{i,j} t_{j+1} \dots = n_1 \ n_2 \ \dots \ n_m$

in which  $b_{i,j}$  corresponds to the tag sequence of a baseNP:  $[t_i \ t_{i+1} \ \dots \ t_j]$ .  $b_{i,j}$  may also be thought of as a baseNP rule. Therefore B is a sequence of both POS tags and baseNP rules. Thus  $1 \leq m \leq n$ ,  $n_i \in (\text{POS tag set} \cup \text{baseNP rules set})$ . This is the first expression of a sentence with baseNP annotated. Sometimes, we also use the following equivalent form:

(b)  $Q = \dots (t_{i-1}, bm_{i-1}) (t_i, bm_i) (t_{i+1}, bm_{i+1}) \dots (t_j, bm_j) (t_{j+1}, bm_{j+1}) \dots = q_1 \ q_2 \ \dots \ q_n$

where each POS tag  $t_i$  is associated with its positional information  $bm_i$  with respect to baseNPs. The positional information is one of  $\{F, I, E, O, S\}$ . F, E and I mean respectively that the word is the left boundary, right boundary of a baseNP, or at another position inside a baseNP. O means that the word is outside a baseNP. S marks a single word baseNP.

For example, the two expressions of the example given above are as follows:

(a)  $B = [NNS] IN [VBD NN] VBD RBR IN [DT JJ NNS]$

(b)  $Q = (NNS S) (IN O) (VBD F) (NN E) (VBD O) (RBR O) (IN O) (DT F) (JJ I) (NNS E) (O)$

1            An ‘integrated’ two-pass procedure

2            The principle of the described approach is as follows. The most probable  
3            baseNP sequence  $B^*$  may be expressed generally as follows:

4            
$$B^* = \operatorname{argmax}_B (p(B | E))$$

6            We separate the whole procedure into two passes, i.e.:

7            
$$B^* \approx \operatorname{argmax}_B (P(T | E) \times P(B | T, E)) \quad (1)$$

9            In order to reduce the search space and computational complexity, we only  
10          consider the N best POS tagging of E, i.e.

11          
$$T(N\text{-best}) = \operatorname{argmax}_{T=T_1, \dots, T_N} (P(T | E)) \quad (2)$$

13          Therefore, we have:

14          
$$B^* \approx \operatorname{argmax}_{B, T=T_1, \dots, T_N} (P(T | E) \times P(B | T, E)) \quad (3)$$

16          Correspondingly, the algorithm is composed of two steps: determining the  
17          N-best POS tagging using Equation (2), and then determining the best baseNP  
18          sequence from those POS sequences using Equation (3). The two steps are  
19          integrated together, rather than separated as in other approaches. Let us now  
20          examine the two steps more closely.

1                   Determining the N best POS sequences

2                   The goal of the algorithm in the first pass is to search for the N-best POS-  
3                   sequences within the search space (POS lattice). According to Bayes' Rule, we  
4                   have

5                   
$$P(T | E) = \frac{P(E | T) \times P(T)}{P(E)}$$

7                   Since  $P(E)$  does not affect the maximizing procedure of  $P(T | E)$ , equation  
8                   (2) becomes

9                   
$$T(N-best) = \operatorname{argmax}_{T=T_1, \dots, T_N} (P(T | E)) = \operatorname{argmax}_{T=T_1, \dots, T_N} (P(E | T) \times P(T)) \quad (4)$$

11                   We now assume that the words in E are independent. Thus

12                   
$$P(E | T) \approx \prod_{i=1}^n P(w_i | t_i) \quad (5)$$

15                   We then use a trigram model as an approximation of  $P(T)$ , i.e.:

16                   
$$P(T) \approx \prod_{i=1}^n P(t_i | t_{i-2}, t_{i-1}) \quad (6)$$

18                   Finally we have

19                   
$$T(N-best) = \operatorname{argmax}_{T=T_1, \dots, T_N} (P(T | E)) = \operatorname{argmax}_{T=T_1, \dots, T_N} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n P(w_i | t_i) \times P(t_i | t_{i-2}, t_{i-1}) \right) \quad (7)$$

21                   In the Viterbi algorithm of the N best search,  $P(w_i | t_i)$  is called the lexical  
22                   generation (or output) probability, and  $P(t_i | t_{i-2}, t_{i-1})$  is called the transition  
23                   probability in the Hidden Markov Model. The Viterbi algorithm is described in  
24                   Viterbi, *Error Bounds for Convolution Codes and Asymptotically Optimum*  
25

1 Decoding Algorithm, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory IT-13(2): pp.260-  
 2 269, April, 1967.

3  
 4 Determining the baseNPs

5 As mentioned before, the goal of the second pass is to search the best  
 6 baseNP-sequence given the N-best POS-sequences.

7 Considering  $E, T$  and  $B$  as random variables, according to Bayes' Rule,  
 8 we have

$$9 P(B | T, E) = \frac{P(B | T) \times P(E | B, T)}{P(E | T)} \\ 10$$

11 Since  $P(B | T) = \frac{P(T | B) \times P(B)}{P(T)}$  we have,

$$12 P(B | T, E) = \frac{P(E | B, T) \times P(T | B) \times P(B)}{P(E | T) \times P(T)} \quad (8) \\ 13$$

14 Because we search for the best baseNP sequence for each possible POS-  
 15 sequence of the given sentence  $E$ ,  $P(E | T) \times P(T) = P(E \cap T) = \text{const}$ . Furthermore,  
 16 from the definition of  $B$ , during each search procedure, we have  
 17  $P(T | B) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(t_i, \dots, t_j | b_{i,j}) = 1$ . Therefore, equation (3) becomes  
 18

$$19 B^* = \underset{B, T = T_1, \dots, T_N}{\text{argmax}} (P(T | E) \times P(B | T, E)) \\ 20 \\ 21 = \underset{B, T = T_1, \dots, T_N}{\text{argmax}} (P(T | E) \times P(E | B, T) \times P(B)) \quad (9)$$

22 using the independence assumption, we have

$$23 P(E | B, T) \approx \prod_{i=1}^n P(w_i | t_i, b_{i,j}). \quad (10) \\ 24 \\ 25$$

1 With trigram approximation of  $P(B)$ , we have:

2

$$3 P(B) \approx \prod_{i=1}^m P(n_i | n_{i-2}, n_{i-1}) \quad (11)$$

4 Finally, we obtain

5

$$6 B^* = \arg \max_{B, T=T_1, \dots, T_N} (P(T | E) \times \prod_{i=1}^n P(w_i | b m_i, t_i) \times \prod_{i=1, m} P(n_i | n_{i-2}, n_{i-1})) \quad \square 12 \square$$

7 To summarize, in the first step, the Viterbi N-best searching algorithm is  
8 applied in the POS tagging procedure and determines a path probability  $f_t$  for  
9 each POS sequence calculated as follows:  $f_t = \prod_{i=1, n} p(w_i | t_i) \times p(t_i | t_{i-2}, t_{i-1})$ . In the  
10 second step, for each possible POS tagging result, the Viterbi algorithm is applied  
11 again to search for the best baseNP sequence. Every baseNP sequence found in  
12 this pass is also associated with a path probability  
13  $f_b = \prod_{i=1}^n p(w_i | t_i, b m_i) \times \prod_{i=1, m} p(n_i | n_{i-2}, n_{i-1})$ . The integrated probability of a baseNP  
14 sequence is determined by  $f_t^\alpha \times f_b$ , where  $\alpha$  is a normalization coefficient ( $\alpha$   
15 = 2.4 in our experiments). When we determine the best baseNP sequence for the  
16 given sentence  $E$ , we also determine the best POS sequence of  $E$ , which  
17 corresponds to the best baseNP of  $E$ .

18 As an example of how this can work, consider the following text: “stock  
19 was down 9.1 points yesterday morning.” In the first pass, one of the N-best POS  
20 tagging results of the sentence is:  $T = \text{NN VBD RB CD NNS NN NN}$ .

21 For this POS sequence, the second pass will try to determine the baseNPs  
22 as shown in Fig. 3. The details of the path in the dashed line are given in Fig 4.  
23 Its probability calculated in the second pass is as follows ( $\Phi$  is pseudo variable):  
24

$$\begin{aligned}
P(B | T, E) = & p(stock | NN, S) \times p(was | VBD, O) \times p(down | RB, O) \times p(NUMBER | CD, B) \\
& \times p(points | NNS, E) \times p(yesterday | NN, B) \times p(morning | NN, E) \times p(., O) \\
& \times p([NN] | \Phi, \Phi) \times p(VBD | \Phi, [NN]) \times p(RB | [NN], VBD) \times p([CD \ NNS] | VBD, RB) \\
& \times p([NN \ NN] | RB, [CD \ NNS]) \times p(., | [CD \ NNS], [NN \ NN])
\end{aligned}$$

### The Statistical Parameter Training

In this work, the training and testing data were derived from the 25 sections of Penn Treebank. We divided the whole Penn Treebank data into two sections, one for training and the other for testing.

In our statistical model, we calculate the following four probabilities: (1)  $P(t_i | t_{i-2}, t_{i-1})$ , (2)  $P(w_i | t_i)$ , (3)  $P(n_i | n_{i-2} n_{i-1})$  and (4)  $P(w_i | t_i, bm_i)$ . The first and the third parameters are trigrams of  $T$  and  $B$  respectively. The second and the fourth are lexical generation probabilities. Probabilities (1) and (2) can be calculated from POS tagged data with following formulae:

$$p(t_i | t_{i-2}, t_{i-1}) = \frac{count(t_{i-2} t_{i-1} t_i)}{\sum_j count(t_{i-2} t_{i-1} t_j)} \quad (13)$$

$$p(w_i | t_i) = \frac{count(w_i \text{ with tag } t_i)}{count(t_i)} \quad (14)$$

As each sentence in the training set has both POS tags and baseNP boundary tags, it can be converted to the two sequences as B (a) and Q (b) described in the last section. Using these sequences, parameters (3) and (4) can be calculated with calculation formulas that are similar to equations (13) and (14) respectively.

Before training trigram model (3), all possible baseNP rules should be extracted from the training corpus. For instance, the following three sequences are among the baseNP rules extracted.

(1) *DT CD CD NN PS*  
 (2) *RB JJ NNS NNS*  
 (3) *NN NN POS NN*

- - - - -

There are more than 6,000 baseNP rules in the Penn Treebank. When training trigram model (3), we treat those baseNP rules in two ways. First, each baseNP rule is assigned a unique identifier (UID). This means that the algorithm considers the corresponding structure of each baseNP rule. Second, all of those rules are assigned to the same identifier (SID). In this case, those rules are grouped into the same class. Nevertheless, the identifiers of baseNP rules are still different from the identifiers assigned to POS tags.

For parameter smoothing, an approach was used as described in Katz, *Estimation of Probabilities from Sparse Data for Language Model Component of Speech Recognize*, IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, Volume ASSP-35, pp. 400-401, March 1987. A trigram model was built to predict the probabilities of parameter (1) and (3). In the case that unknown words are encountered during baseNP identification, a parameters (2) and (4) are calculated in the following way:

$$p(w_i \mid bm_i, t_i) = \frac{count(bm_i, t_i)}{\max_j(count(bm_j, t_i))^2} \quad (15)$$

1

$$p(w_i | t_i) = \frac{count(t_i)}{\max_j(count(t_j))^2} \quad (16)$$

2

3 Here,  $bm_j$  indicates all possible baseNP labels attached to  $t_i$ , and  $t_j$  is a  
4 POS tag guessed for the unknown word  $w_i$ .

5 Fig. 5 is a flow diagram that describes steps in a method in accordance with  
6 one embodiment. The steps can be implemented in any suitable hardware,  
7 software, firmware or combination thereof. In the illustrated example, the steps  
8 are implemented in software. One particular embodiment of such software can be  
9 found in the above-mentioned cross-language writing wizard 136 which forms part  
10 of browser program 132 (Fig. 1). More specifically, the method about to be  
11 described can be implemented by a shallow parser such as the one shown and  
12 described in Fig. 2.

13 Step 500 receives selected text. This step is implemented in connection  
14 with a user selecting a portion of text that is to be translated. Typically, a user  
15 selects text by using an input device such as a mouse and the like. Step 502  
16 segments words in the selected text. Any suitable segmentation processing can be  
17 performed as will be appreciated by those of skill in the art. Step 504 obtains the  
18 morphological root of each word. In the illustrated and described embodiment,  
19 this step is implemented by a morphological analyzer such as the one shown in  
20 Fig. 2. In the illustrated example, the morphological analyzer is configured to  
21 process words that are written in English. It is to be appreciated and understood,  
22 however, that any suitable language can provide a foundation upon which a  
23 morphological analyzer can be built.

24 Step 506 characterizes the words using part-of-speech (POS) tagging and  
25 base noun phrase identification. Any suitable techniques can be utilized. One

1 exemplary technique is described in detail in the “POS Tagging and BaseNP  
2 Identification” section above. Step 508 applies rules-based phrase extension and  
3 pattern matching to the characterized words to generate a tree list. In the above  
4 example, this step was implemented using a phrase extension module 206 and a  
5 pattern or template matching module 208. Step 510 outputs the tree list for further  
6 processing.

7 As an example of a tree list, consider Fig. 6. There, the sentence “The  
8 Natural Language Computing Group at Microsoft Research China is exploring  
9 research in advanced natural language technologies” has been processed as  
10 described above. Specifically, the tree list illustrates the individual words of the  
11 sentence having been segmented, morphologically processed, and characterized  
12 using the POS tagging and baseNP techniques described above. For example,  
13 consider element 600. There, the word “Natural” has been segmented from the  
14 sentence and from a parent element “natural language”. Element 600 has also  
15 been characterized with the POS tag “JJ”. Other elements in the tree have been  
16 similarly processed.

17

### 18 Exemplary Word Translation Selector

19 The word translation selector 142 receives the tree lists and generates all  
20 possible translation patterns. The selector 142 translates the parsed translation  
21 units using a statistical translation and language models to derive top candidate  
22 word translations in the native text. The top candidate translations are output.

23 Fig. 7 is a flow diagram that describes steps in a method in accordance with  
24 one embodiment. The method can be implemented in any suitable hardware,  
25 software, firmware or combination thereof. In the illustrated and described

1 embodiment, the method is implemented in software. One embodiment of such  
2 software can comprise word translation selector 142 (Fig. 1).

3 Step 700 receives a tree list that has been produced according to the  
4 processing described above. Step 702 generates translation patterns from the tree  
5 list. In one embodiment, all possible translation patterns are generated. For  
6 example, for English to Chinese translation, the English noun phrase “NP1 of  
7 NP2” may have two kinds of possible translations: (1) T(NP1) + T(NP2), and (2)  
8 T(NP2) + T(NP1). In the phrase translation, the translated phrase is a syntax tree  
9 and, in one embodiment, all possible translation orders are considered. Step 704  
10 translates parsed translation units using a translation model and language model.  
11 The translation units can comprise words and phrases. Step 704 then outputs the  
12 top N candidate word translations. The top N candidate word translations can be  
13 selected using statistical models.

14

15 **Exemplary Translation Generator**

16 The translation generator 144 translates the top N candidate word  
17 translations to corresponding phrases in the native language. The native words  
18 and phrases are then presented via the UI in proximity to the selected text.

19 Fig. 8 shows translation generator 144 in a little more detail in accordance  
20 with one embodiment. To translate the top candidate words, the translation  
21 generator can draw upon a number of different resources. For example, the  
22 translation generator can include a dictionary module 800 that it uses in the  
23 translation process. The dictionary module 800 can include a word dictionary,  
24 phrase dictionary, irregular morphology dictionary or any other dictionaries that  
25 can typically be used in natural language translation processing, as will be

1 apparent to those of skill in the art. The operation and functions of such  
2 dictionaries will be understood by those of skill in the art and, for the sake of  
3 brevity, are not described here in additional detail.

4 Translation generator 144 can include a template module 802 that contains  
5 multiple templates that are used in the translation processing. Any suitable  
6 templates can be utilized. For example, so-called large phrase templates can be  
7 utilized to assist in the translation process. The operation of templates for use in  
8 natural language translation is known and is not described here in additional detail.

9 The translation generator 144 can include a rules module 804 that contains  
10 multiple rules that are used to facilitate the translation process. Rules can be hand-  
11 drafted rules that are drafted by individuals who are skilled in the specific  
12 languages that are the subject of the translation. Rules can be drafted to address  
13 issues pertaining to statistical errors in translation, parsing, translation patterns.  
14 The principles of rules-based translations will be understood by those of skill in  
15 the art.

16 Translation generator 144 can include one or more statistical models 806  
17 that are used in the translation process. The statistical models that can be used can  
18 vary widely, especially given the number of possible non-native and native  
19 languages relative to which translation is desired. The statistical models can be  
20 based on the above-described POS and baseNP statistical parameters. In a specific  
21 implementation where it is desired to translate from English to Chinese, the  
22 following models can be used: Chinese Trigram Language Model and the Chinese  
23 Mutual Information Model. Other models can, of course, be used.

24 The above-described modules and models can be used separately or in  
25 various combinations with one another.

1 At this point in the processing, a user has selected a portion of non-native  
2 language text that is to be translated into a native language. The selected text has  
3 been processed as described above. In the discussion that is provided just below,  
4 methods and systems are described that present the translated text to the user in a  
5 manner that is convenient and efficient for the user.

6

7 **Reading Wizard User Interface**

8 The remaining discussion is directed to features of the user interface 134  
9 when presenting the reading wizard. In particular, the reading wizard user  
10 interface 134 permits the user to select text written in a non-native language that  
11 the user is unsure how to read and interpret. The selection may be an individual  
12 word, phrase, or sentence.

13 Figs. 9-13 show exemplary reading wizard user interfaces implemented as  
14 graphical UIs (GUIs) that are presented to the user as part of a browser program or  
15 other computer-aided reading system. The illustrated examples show a reading  
16 system designed to assist a Chinese user when reading English text. The English  
17 text is displayed in the window. A user can select portions of the English text. In  
18 response to user selection, the reading wizard translates the selection into Chinese  
19 text and presents the Chinese text in a pop-up translation window or scrollable  
20 box.

21 Fig. 9 shows a user interface 900 that includes a portion of “non-native”  
22 text that has been highlighted. The highlighted text is displayed in a first area of  
23 the user interface. A second area of the user interface in the form of translation  
24 window 902 is configured to display translated portions of at least some of the text  
25 in a native language. The highlighted text, in this example, comprises the phrase

1 “research in advanced natural language technologies”. In this example, a user has  
2 highlighted the word “advanced” and the reading system has automatically  
3 determined the word to comprise part of the phrase that is highlighted. The  
4 reading system then automatically shows the best translation of the highlighted  
5 phrase in translation window 902. By automatically determining a phrase that  
6 contains a user-selected word and then providing at least one translation for the  
7 phrase, the reader is provided with not only a translation of the word, but is  
8 provided a translated context in which the word is used. This is advantageous in  
9 that it gives the reader more translated information which, in turn, can facilitate  
10 their understanding of the material that they are reading.

11 Notice that the translation window 902 is located adjacent at least a portion  
12 of the highlighted text. By locating the translation window in this manner, the  
13 user is not required to divert their attention very far from the highlighted text in  
14 order to see the translated text. This is advantageous because it does not slow the  
15 user’s reading process down an undesirable amount. Notice also that the  
16 translation window contains a drop down arrow 904 that can be used to expose  
17 other translated versions of the selected text. As an example, consider Fig. 10.  
18 There, translation window 902 has been dropped down to expose all translations  
19 of the highlighted phrase.

20 Fig. 11 shows a user interface 1100 having a translation window 1102.  
21 Here, the reading system automatically detects that the word “generated” is not in  
22 a phrase and translates only the word “generated.” The reading system can also  
23 provide multiple most likely translations in the translation window 1102. For  
24 example, three exemplary likely translations are shown. In the illustrated  
25 example, the displayed translations are context sensitive and are sorted according

1 to context. Accordingly, in this example, the reading system can show only the  
2 top  $n$  translations of the word, rather than all of the possible translations of the  
3 word. Fig. 12 shows user interface 1100 where all of the possible translations of  
4 the word “generated” are presented to the user in translation window 1102.

5 Fig. 13 shows a user interface 1300 having a translation window 1302 that  
6 illustrates one feature of the described embodiment. Specifically, the user can be  
7 given a choice as to whether they desire for an entire phrase containing a selected  
8 word to be translated, or whether they desire for only a selected word to be  
9 translated. In this example, the user has positioned their mouse in a manner that  
10 selects the word “advanced” for translation. Since the word “advanced” comprises  
11 part of a longer phrase, the reading system would automatically translate the  
12 phrase containing the selected word and then present the choices to the user as  
13 described above. In this case, however, the user has indicated to the reading  
14 system that they want only the selected word to be translated. They can do this in  
15 any suitable way as by, for example, depressing the “Ctrl” key when making a  
16 word selection.

17

### 18 Conclusion

19 The embodiments described above help a user read a non-native language  
20 and can permit users who are more comfortable communicating in a native  
21 language, to extensively read non-native language electronic documents quickly,  
22 conveniently, and in a manner that promotes focus and rapid assimilation of the  
23 subject matter. User convenience can be enhanced by providing a user interface  
24 with a translation window (containing the translated text) closely adjacent the text  
25 being translated. By positioning the translation window closely adjacent the

1 translated text, the user's eyes are not required to move very far to ascertain the  
2 translated text. This, in turn, reduces user-perceptible distraction that might  
3 otherwise persist if, for example, the user were required to glance a distance away  
4 in order to view the translated text. User interaction is further enhanced, in some  
5 embodiments, by virtue of a mouse point translation process. A user is able, by  
6 positioning a mouse to select a portion of text, to quickly make their selection,  
7 whereupon the system automatically performs a translation and presents translated  
8 text to the user.

9 Although the invention has been described in language specific to structural  
10 features and/or methodological steps, it is to be understood that the invention  
11 defined in the appended claims is not necessarily limited to the specific features or  
12 steps described. Rather, the specific features and steps are disclosed as preferred  
13 forms of implementing the claimed invention.